

values of the interpolated function for any value of x are obtained by calls (as many as desired) to a separate routine `splint` (for “*spline interpolation*”):

```

SUBROUTINE splint(xa,ya,y2a,n,x,y)
INTEGER n
REAL x,y,xa(n),y2a(n),ya(n)
  Given the arrays xa(1:n) and ya(1:n) of length n, which tabulate a function (with the
  xai's in order), and given the array y2a(1:n), which is the output from spline above,
  and given a value of x, this routine returns a cubic-spline interpolated value y.
INTEGER k,khi,klo
REAL a,b,h
klo=1
khi=n
1  if (khi-klo.gt.1) then
    k=(khi+klo)/2
    if (xa(k).gt.x) then
      khi=k
    else
      klo=k
    endif
  goto 1
endif
h=xa(khi)-xa(klo)
if (h.eq.0.) pause 'bad xa input in splint' The xa's must be distinct.
a=(xa(khi)-x)/h
b=(x-xa(klo))/h
y=a*ya(klo)+b*ya(khi)+
* ((a**3-a)*y2a(klo)+(b**3-b)*y2a(khi))*(h**2)/6.
return
END

```

We will find the right place in the table by means of bisection. This is optimal if sequential calls to this routine are at random values of x . If sequential calls are in order, and closely spaced, one would do better to store previous values of klo and khi and test if they remain appropriate on the next call.

klo and khi now bracket the input value of x .

The xa 's must be distinct. Cubic spline polynomial is now evaluated.

CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

- De Boor, C. 1978, *A Practical Guide to Splines* (New York: Springer-Verlag).
- Forsythe, G.E., Malcolm, M.A., and Moler, C.B. 1977, *Computer Methods for Mathematical Computations* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall), §§4.4–4.5.
- Stoer, J., and Bulirsch, R. 1980, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis* (New York: Springer-Verlag), §2.4.
- Ralston, A., and Rabinowitz, P. 1978, *A First Course in Numerical Analysis*, 2nd ed. (New York: McGraw-Hill), §3.8.

3.4 How to Search an Ordered Table

Suppose that you have decided to use some particular interpolation scheme, such as fourth-order polynomial interpolation, to compute a function $f(x)$ from a set of tabulated x_i 's and f_i 's. Then you will need a fast way of finding your place in the table of x_i 's, given some particular value x at which the function evaluation is desired. This problem is not properly one of numerical analysis, but it occurs so often in practice that it would be negligent of us to ignore it.

Formally, the problem is this: Given an array of abscissas $xx(j)$, $j=1, 2, \dots, n$, with the elements either monotonically increasing or monotonically decreasing, and given a number x , find an integer j such that x lies between $xx(j)$ and $xx(j+1)$.

For this task, let us define fictitious array elements $xx(0)$ and $xx(n+1)$ equal to plus or minus infinity (in whichever order is consistent with the monotonicity of the table). Then j will always be between 0 and n , inclusive; a returned value of 0 indicates “off-scale” at one end of the table, n indicates off-scale at the other end.

In most cases, when all is said and done, it is hard to do better than *bisection*, which will find the right place in the table in about $\log_2 n$ tries. We already did use bisection in the spline evaluation routine `splint` of the preceding section, so you might glance back at that. Standing by itself, a bisection routine looks like this:

```

SUBROUTINE locate(xx,n,x,j)
  INTEGER j,n
  REAL x,xx(n)
  Given an array xx(1:n), and given a value x, returns a value j such that x is between
  xx(j) and xx(j+1). xx(1:n) must be monotonic, either increasing or decreasing. j=0
  or j=n is returned to indicate that x is out of range.
  INTEGER j1,jm,ju
  j1=0           Initialize lower
  ju=n+1        and upper limits.
10  if(ju-j1.gt.1)then  If we are not yet done,
    jm=(ju+j1)/2      compute a midpoint,
    if((xx(n).ge.xx(1)).eqv.(x.ge.xx(jm)))then
      j1=jm           and replace either the lower limit
    else
      ju=jm           or the upper limit, as appropriate.
    endif
  goto 10        Repeat until
endif           the test condition 10 is satisfied.
if(x.eq.xx(1))then  Then set the output
  j=1
else if(x.eq.xx(n))then
  j=n-1
else
  j=j1
endif
return          and return.
END

```

Note the use of the logical equality relation `.eqv.`, which is true when its two logical operands are either both true or both false. This relation allows the routine to work for both monotonically increasing and monotonically decreasing orders of $xx(1:n)$.

Search with Correlated Values

Sometimes you will be in the situation of searching a large table many times, and with nearly identical abscissas on consecutive searches. For example, you may be generating a function that is used on the right-hand side of a differential equation: Most differential-equation integrators, as we shall see in Chapter 16, call for right-hand side evaluations at points that hop back and forth a bit, but whose trend moves slowly in the direction of the integration.

In such cases it is wasteful to do a full bisection, *ab initio*, on each call. The following routine instead starts with a guessed position in the table. It first “hunts,” either up or down, in increments of 1, then 2, then 4, etc., until the desired value is bracketed. Second, it then bisects in the bracketed interval. At worst, this routine is about a factor of 2 slower than `locate` above (if the hunt phase expands to include the whole table). At best, it can be a factor of $\log_2 n$ faster than `locate`, if the desired point is usually quite close to the input guess. Figure 3.4.1 compares the two routines.




Figure 3.4.1. (a) The routine `locate` finds a table entry by bisection. Shown here is the sequence of steps that converge to element 51 in a table of length 64. (b) The routine `hunt` searches from a previous known position in the table by increasing steps, then converges by bisection. Shown here is a particularly unfavorable example, converging to element 32 from element 7. A favorable example would be convergence to an element near 7, such as 9, which would require just three "hops."

```
SUBROUTINE hunt(xx,n,x,jlo)
  INTEGER jlo,n
  REAL x,xx(n)
```

Given an array `xx(1:n)`, and given a value `x`, returns a value `jlo` such that `x` is between `xx(jlo)` and `xx(jlo+1)`. `xx(1:n)` must be monotonic, either increasing or decreasing. `jlo=0` or `jlo=n` is returned to indicate that `x` is out of range. `jlo` on input is taken as the initial guess for `jlo` on output.

```
  INTEGER inc,jhi,jm
  LOGICAL ascnd
  ascnd=xx(n).ge.xx(1)           True if ascending order of table, false otherwise.
  if(jlo.le.0.or.jlo.gt.n)then   Input guess not useful. Go immediately to bisection.
    jlo=0
    jhi=n+1
    goto 3
  endif
  inc=1                          Set the hunting increment.
  if(x.ge.xx(jlo).eqv.ascnd)then Hunt up:
  1   jhi=jlo+inc
      if(jhi.gt.n)then           Done hunting, since off end of table.
        jhi=n+1
      else if(x.ge.xx(jhi).eqv.ascnd)then   Not done hunting,
        jlo=jhi                 so double the increment
        inc=inc+inc             and try again.
        goto 1                 Done hunting, value bracketed.
      endif
  else                             Hunt down:
  2   jhi=jlo
      jlo=jhi-inc
      if(jlo.lt.1)then          Done hunting, since off end of table.
        jlo=0
      else if(x.lt.xx(jlo).eqv.ascnd)then   Not done hunting,
        jhi=jlo                 so double the increment
        inc=inc+inc             and try again.
        goto 2                 Done hunting, value bracketed.
      endif
  endif
  3   if(jhi-jlo.eq.1)then       Hunt is done, so begin the final bisection phase:
      if(x.eq.xx(n))jlo=n-1
      if(x.eq.xx(1))jlo=1
```

```

    return
endif
jm=(jhi+jlo)/2
if(x.ge.xx(jm).eqv.ascnd)then
    jlo=jm
else
    jhi=jm
endif
goto 3
END

```

After the Hunt

The problem: Routines `locate` and `hunt` return an index j such that your desired value lies between table entries $xx(j)$ and $xx(j+1)$, where $xx(1:n)$ is the full length of the table. But, to obtain an m -point interpolated value using a routine like `polint` (§3.1) or `ratint` (§3.2), you need to supply much shorter xx and yy arrays, of length m . How do you make the connection?

The solution: Calculate

$$k = \min(\max(j-(m-1)/2, 1), n+1-m)$$

This expression produces the index of the leftmost member of an m -point set of points centered (insofar as possible) between j and $j+1$, but bounded by 1 at the left and n at the right. FORTRAN then lets you call the interpolation routine with array addresses offset by k , e.g.,

```
call polint(xx(k),yy(k),m,...)
```

CITED REFERENCES AND FURTHER READING:

Knuth, D.E. 1973, *Sorting and Searching*, vol. 3 of *The Art of Computer Programming* (Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley), §6.2.1.

3.5 Coefficients of the Interpolating Polynomial

Occasionally you may wish to know not the value of the interpolating polynomial that passes through a (small!) number of points, but the coefficients of that polynomial. A valid use of the coefficients might be, for example, to compute simultaneous interpolated values of the function and of several of its derivatives (see §5.3), or to convolve a segment of the tabulated function with some other function, where the moments of that other function (i.e., its convolution with powers of x) are known analytically.

However, please be certain that the coefficients are what you need. Generally the coefficients of the interpolating polynomial can be determined much less accurately than its value at a desired abscissa. Therefore it is not a good idea to determine the coefficients only for use in calculating interpolating values. Values thus calculated will not pass exactly through the tabulated points, for example, while values computed by the routines in §3.1–§3.3 will pass exactly through such points.